

PAPER REF: 7056

DATA CENTERS AND THEIR ENERGY CONSUMPTION FOR CLIMATIZATION

Clito Afonso ^(*), João Moreira

Department of Mechanical Engineering Portugal (DEMec), University of Porto, Portugal

^(*)*Email:* clito@fe.up.pt

ABSTRACT

The use of air conditioning equipment is becoming more frequent, and new technologies are emerging to increase the efficiency of the process and decrease the operating costs of air conditioning systems. In data centres, where electric power consumption is high and indoor cooling is a constant, the air conditioning systems are responsible for a large part of the building's energy consumption. In this work, a data centre with a twenty years old cooling system (with production of chilled water), was analysed and is about to be replaced. In order to meet the thermal load of the building, two solutions were proposed with a more efficient refrigeration system: one with production of chilled water (case 1) and another one with a direct expansion system (DX, case 2). It was also analysed the possibility to use free cooling in both of them. In both cases the results obtained shows a significant reduction on the energy consumption and consequently a reduction in the CO₂ emissions, regarding the old system. An economic analysis was also carried and the conclusions were that they are profitable. The final decision is due to the customer.

Keywords: free-cooling, energy efficiency, data centre.

INTRODUCTION

Currently, energy is increasingly becoming a critical factor in the profitability of companies, services and industry. Energy efficiency (Mellár, 2016) is a priority for competitiveness and it is also here that rehabilitation will play a very important role. The investment in new buildings is being replaced by the investment and remodeling of the existing spaces with a view to future gains in the energetic invoice.

The Energy Efficiency Directive, which aims to put Europe back on track to meet the 20% energy efficiency target by 2020, is one of the key pillars for reducing energy consumption. There is significant potential in service buildings because in these buildings energy consumption devoted to air conditioning is of greater importance than is the case in housing, and a relevant impact of the energy efficiency requirements of this sector is expected. In this, are the systems of processing of data. These emerged as a response to the need to have spaces with specific environments, with technical requirements for the placement of servers and data banks. The importance of this type of building is easily discernible in view of the evolution of telecommunication users, in particular the internet, (Telecommunications Industry Association, 2005; ASHRAE; The Green Grid, 2012; Txapartegi, 2016).

Power consumption in this spaces is very high, largely due to the increase in server density. Manufacturers are looking to help customers make the most of every square foot of data center space, and are now integrating more and more processors and more computing power

than ever before. In fact, the density of a server has increased tenfold over the last decade and the server's average power consumption has quadrupled. Higher density results in higher operating temperatures and increase in energy and air conditioning requirements, to avoid possible systems failure (Hewlett-Packard, 2010).

The objective of this work is the study of new air conditioning systems for a data processing center (Evans, 2016) to replace the existing one, with twenty years. It takes into account the determination of an optimization of energy in the level of the air conditioning components, through the use of free cooling systems leading to a higher energy efficiency of the building. As a consequence, it will result in lower operating costs for the new proposed air conditioning systems to be installed and consequently a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions.

CASE STUDY AND METHODOLOGY

The building chosen for this work is located in Porto and is considered a PES (Small Building of Commerce and Services), since the useful interior area is less than 1000 m², which will undergo a major intervention.

The building has an air conditioning system with more than 20 years, in which there are six units inside the room CRAC (Air handling units for computer rooms with the production of chilled water). These units are powered by two 110 kW chiller each. This equipment's present a state of degradation quite advanced. The distribution network is made using black iron pipe properly insulated.

In order to correctly size the new air conditioning systems to be proposed, to make a comparison between them and to assess their advantages over the old one, to be replaced, the HAP software (Carrier, 2005) was used. It is a program that offers numerous options for designing AVAC systems for commercial buildings, and also has an enormous capacity to perform an energy analysis, regarding comparisons of consumption and operating costs between air conditioning systems. This program is widely used in energy efficiency projects in buildings, due to its ability to simulate on an annual scale (8760 hours) taking into account local conditions. The calculation method used by the HAP is called the Transfer Function Method. For the evaluation of the thermal requirements of the building, to determine the required air conditioning equipment, the following data must be entered: characteristics of the surroundings, climatic conditions and local solar radiation, the utilization profiles and thermal inertia. All this information is available

As said above, for the replacement of the old equipment, two different systems were studied:

- Case 1: CRAC units of type CW, with chiller for production of cold water;
- Case 2: CRAC units of type DX. In this system the only difference for Case 1 is that there is no need for cold water production units since CRAC will be of type DX and as such will have its own refrigeration circuit.

Before simulating these two systems, it was necessary to know the information of the data centre billing, corresponding to the consumptions verified in the year 2015 (with the old system). The results are shown in Table 1 (Moreira, 2016).

The thermal load of the building was simulated using the code HAP, (CARRIER, HAP, 2005) and it is of 305 490 W. In Table 1 it is displayed the annual consumption of each item in the data centre as well the annual cost of each solution proposed. For that it was considered an average cost of the electricity of 0.11€/kWh.

Table 1 - Data centre billing in the year 2015.

Items	Hourly consumption (kWh)	Annual consumption (kWh/year)	Cost (€/year)	Consumption percentage (%)
Electric equipment	105	919800	101178	58.3
Refrigeration	67	585920	64451	37.1
Lighting and others	28	72800	8008	4.6
Total	200	1578520	173637	100

ENERGETIC COMPARISON OF THE TWO CASE STUDIES

Case 1

Taking into account the value of the energy needs of electricity, if an implementation of five (N) CRAC units is carried out, each one should have a capacity of 61 098 W of cooling power. However, considering the redundant systems, the installation must have 6 CRAC units (N + 1) in accordance with the rating required in this case Tier III (Tier, 2005; Ashrae, 2009).

Thus, a set of CRAC units will be implemented within the room, which, in order to achieve the desired classification, must have a double electrical supply, coming from two separate electrical panels, so that an electric panel can be put out of service without affecting the correct operation of the installation. The redundancy system must be at least N + 1, ie a CRAC unit, with the installation in full operation, must be switched off in order to be used only in the event of another CRAC unit being put out of service.

Case 2

As in the previous case, six units will be installed. Each CRAC unit has a total thermal power of 66 kW, but also has two independent circuits of refrigerant, which means that each circuit will account for half of the total thermal power, ie. 33 kW. This particularity of the unit having two refrigerant fluid circuits, each one with a compressor, allows the unit to operate only with one circuit, thereby giving a greater modularity in terms of operating ranges and in case of rupture of the refrigerant tubing only half of the unit will be inoperative, the other circuit being fully operational.

Table 2 shows a comparison between the results obtained through simulations for the two systems under consideration.

Table 2 - Comparison of the main parameters of the two case studies.

Items	Case 1		Case 2	
	Annual consumption (kWh/ano)	Annual costs (€/ano)	Annual consumption (kWh/ano)	Annual costs (€/ano)
Electric equipment	919800	101178	919800	101178
Refrigeration	208336	22917	192582	21184
Lighting and others	80082	8809	80082	8809
TOTAL	1208218	132904	1192464	131171

It can be seen that there is a significant reduction in the annual energetic consumption of the new proposed refrigeration equipment's when compared with the old one. The results are displayed in Table 3.

Table 3 - Comparison of the main parameters of the two case studies.

Case 1	370 366 kWh/ano
Case 2	386 056 kWh/ano

Also in case 1, the annual cost of electricity is € 132904. It is seen that there is a decrease of 41 534 € per year in relation to existing plant (see table 1), i.e., with this system one obtains a reduction of 64% compared to the current cost. It is this value that the end user will save if they decide to deploy the installation 1.

In case 2, the annual cost of electricity is € 131171. There is a reduction of € 43267 per year in relation to the existing plant (see table 1), that is to say, this system achieves a reduction of 67% compared to the current cost. It is this value that the end user will save if they decide to deploy the installation 2.

FREE COOLING

The Free Cooling (FC), (Petschke, 2015), in this particular case, can be implemented directly in the CRAC units. This system consists of coupling a plenum to the CRAC unit of type CW. This plenum has two registers that are responsible for new air and recirculation air. The motorization of these registers is done by the controller of the CRAC unit itself with the aid of an external temperature probe.

Considering the unit's technical data sheet, (STULZ), the airflow allowed by the fans is 14 840 m³h⁻¹ and as such this will be the allowed flow per unit for the application of FC. In view of the First Law of Thermodynamics, (Afonso):

$$Q_{sL} = 1,23 \times \dot{v} \times (T_i - T_e) \quad [1]$$

where:

Q_{sL} - Sensible gains [W]

\dot{v} - Air flow rate [ls⁻¹]

T_i - Indoor air temperature [°C]

T_e - Outdoor air temperature [°C]

Knowing the internal temperature, T_i , that is defined by the end user, 24°C, and assuming that the six CRAC units of case 1 can operate in FC mode simultaneously, making a total of 89040 m³h⁻¹ of available fresh air flow, the minimum air temperature to climatize the space with FC is given from equation 1:

$$T_e = 15,29^\circ C$$

Observing the environmental conditions of the place where the installation is located, through (SolTerm 5), it is possible to verify that there are 5296 hours during the year when the outside temperature is lower than 15,29 °C. So, in this installation, more than half of the year will be air-conditioned using the free-cooling system and the rest of the year the air conditioning will be made using the refrigeration equipment. Thus, the electric consumption will be reduced.

In case 2, the procedure for the determination of the FC system is similar to the previous one. However, the air flow rate of this CRAC unit is $20\,000\text{ m}^3\text{h}^{-1}$, (STULZ). Thus, for the same internal temperature of the data center, and through equation 1, the external temperature is:

$$T_e = 17,53^\circ\text{C}$$

Therefore, observing the environmental conditions of the place where the installation is located, it is possible to verify that there are, during the year, 6566 hours when the outside temperature is below 17.53°C .

Thus, in this installation, about three quarters of the year will be air-conditioned using the FC system and in the rest of the year the air conditioning will be made using the refrigeration equipment. Thus, the electric consumption will be reduced.

ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

The determination and analysis of the profitability indices allow the user to quickly reach conclusions on the profitability of the investment projects, that is, it allows to verify if a certain investment is profitable or not.

For the determination of these indicators it is necessary to forecast the following variables, staggered in time when applicable:

- Costs of investments;
- Operating or operating revenues;
- Operating or operating costs;
- Economic life of the project;
- Inflation rate;
- Interest rate.

Investment costs, revenues and operating costs are referred to as cash flow, which are the amounts received or expended by the user with the facility.

Considering that there is currently an air-conditioning installation in the building under study, the maintenance costs will be the same for the new installation and as such will not be considered in this analysis.

For this specific case, a ten-year economic life with an inflation rate of 1% and an interest rate of 3% is defined for the project.

The indices of profitability are:

- **Net Present Value (VAL)**

The NPV aims to assess the feasibility of an investment project by calculating the present value of all its cash flows. Current value means the today value of a certain amount to be obtained in the future. Since any investment only generates cash flow in the future, it is necessary to update the value of each of these cash flows and to compare them with the value of the investment. In case the investment value is lower than the current value of the cash flows, the NPV is positive which means that the project has a positive profitability.

To update future cash flows, a rate is called the interest rate. This interest rate is no more than the rate that the user would have because of the opportunity cost of putting, for example, such

amount of money in a savings (term deposit), to earn interest. The NPV is calculated by the following equation, (MEGRE):

$$NPV = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{FC_t}{(1+i)^t} \quad [€] \quad (2)$$

where:

FC_t - Cash flow in year t ;

t - Year in time when money will be invested;

n - Number of years t ;

i - Interest rate.

- **Internal Rate of Return (IRR)**

IRR is the discount rate at which the present value of future cash flows equals the initial current investment, ie the discount rate at which cash flows are financially equivalent to investment costs. In summary, the IRR is the update rate for which the NPV is equal to zero:

$$TIR = i \quad \leftrightarrow \quad VAL_i = 0 \quad (3)$$

This rate should be compared to the interest rate. If IRR is higher than the interest rate, the project is acceptable.

- **Return Of Investment (ROI)**

The ROI is an index that represents the ratio between the amount earned as a result of an investment and the amount invested, ie in our case:

$$ROI = \frac{\text{poupança energética}}{\text{custo investimento}} \quad (4)$$

- **Payback**

This value is simply the inverse of the ROI and allows the calculation of the time needed to recover the invested capital.

Due to the variation in price of goods and services, it is necessary to update the cash flow because it will be higher since the unit price of energy will also be. This rate will be considered 1% per year. Therefore, cash flow will follow equation 5:

$$FC_t^R = FC_{t-1}^R \times (1+\alpha) \quad [€] \quad (5)$$

where:

FC_t^R – Actual cash flow in year t ;

FC_{t-1}^R – Actual cash flow in the previous year $t - 1$;

α – Inflation rate.

ECONOMIC COMPARISON OF THE TWO CASE STUDIES

With the equations 2 to 5 an economic comparison of the two cases studies have been done and the results are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4 - Economic comparison of the two case studies.

	Initial cost	Annual savings	VAL	TIR	ROI	Payback
Case 1	355 122.78€	41 534€	18 346.74€	4%	12%	8.1
Case 2	273 581.52€	43 267€	115 470.96€	10%	17%	6.1

From the above table, it is verified that for case 1, the NPV is positive and as such the investment is profitable, the IRR has a rate of 4%, which is higher than the 3% interest rate, making this project acceptable. In addition, the investment made is made at a rate of 12% per year, and the initial investment will be fully recovered at 8.1 years of operation.

For case 2 the NPV is positive and as such the investment is profitable, the IRR has a rate of 10%, which is higher than the 3% interest rate, making this project acceptable. In addition, the investment is made at a rate of 17% per annum, and the initial investment will be fully recovered at 6.1 years of operation.

So, it is possible to conclude that case 2 is economically the most favourable in all respects. It has a lower initial investment and a larger savings account, that is translated into a higher IRR and a lower Payback.

AVOIDED EMISSIONS OF CO₂ IN THE TWO CASE STUDIES

In both cases there is a reduction in electrical energy consumption regarding the actual refrigeration system and, as such, there is a decrease of CO₂ emissions into the atmosphere.

Each year, the Energy Services Regulatory Agency (ERSE) publishes the CO₂ emission factors to be used for the determination of specific emissions. This publication is based on the most recent information on the electricity generation facilities of the Iberian Peninsula, Table 5 below:

Table 5 - CO₂ emissions factors.

Year	Emission factor of CO ₂ (g/kWh)								
	Hydric	Wind	Renewable cogeneration	Others renewable	Solid urban waste	Fossil cogeneration	Natural gas	Coal	Nuclear
2015	0	0	0	0	841	327	354	980	0

According to the data of the year 2015, the production of electricity was distributed taking into account the type of energy source. Table 6 shows that distribution as well as the avoided emissions of CO₂ for case 1.

For case 2 the calculations are similar. Table 7 displays the comparison of the avoided CO₂ emissions in both cases.

Table 6 - Avoided CO₂ emissions per source type in case 1.

Source type	Source of energy	Electric energy production	Emission factor of CO ₂ (g/kWh)	Emission factor of CO ₂ per source of energy production (g/kWh)	Decrease in energy consumption (kWh/year)	Avoided emissions of CO ₂ per source of energy (kg CO ₂ /kWh)
Renewable	Wind	13.1%	0	0	370366	0.0
	Solid urban waste	0.3%	0	0		0.0
	Renewable cogeneration	4.3%	0	0		0.0
	Hydric	13.2%	0	0		0.0
	Other renewables	2.8%	0	0		0.0
No Renewable	Fossil cogeneration	9.0%	327	29,43		10899.87
	Natural gas	17.0%	354	60,18		22288.63
	Coal	35.6%	980	348,88		129213.29
	Nuclear	4.4%	0	0		0.0
	Solid urban waste	0.3%	841	2.523		934.43
					TOTAL	163336.22

Table 7 - Comparison of the main economic parameters of the two case studies.

	Avoided emissions of CO ₂ (ton)
Case 1	163
Case 2	170

CONCLUSIONS

In this work a data centre was analysed regarding the refrigeration system used, that is twenty years old, with production of chilled water. Two alternative solutions were studied in order to replace the existing one: the first with a more efficient refrigeration system with production of chilled water (case 1) and second one, also more efficient with a direct expansion system (DX, case 2). It was analysed both cases regarding the energetic efficiency, economics aspects as well as avoided CO₂ emissions.

The solution of case 1 uses CRAC units of type CW, consisting of air-water chiller. In the case 2 the solution uses CRAC units DX type. These units are autonomous and independent and are operated in a stand-alone type system.

Both cases were sized with saving modules, implemented in the CRAC units in order to take advantage of free cooling by introducing cooler outside air, leading to a saving in operating costs.

A summary of both cases is shown in Table 8.

Table 8 - Comparison of the two case studies.

	Investment costs	Annual savings	Payback (years)	Avoided CO ₂ emissions (ton)
Caso 1	355 122.78€	41 534€	8.1	163
Caso 2	273 581.52€	43 267€	6	170

From the analysis of the shown tables it is possible to conclude that case 2 is the best implementation at all levels.

Despite the previous analysis, it will always be the end user to decide which solution to implement.

REFERENCES

- [1] Afonso, C. Termodinâmica para Engenharia. FUP editions. ISBN.978-972-752-143-2. 2012.
- [2] ASHRAE Technical Committee (TC 9.9) Mission Critical Facilities, Data Centers, Technology Spaces, and Electronic Equipment, Data Center Networking Equipment - Issues and Best Practices. 2009.
- [3] CARRIER, HAP e-Help, 2005. <<http://www.ahicARRIER.gr/en/downloads/hourly-analysis-program/hap-e-help/>>.
- [4] Entidade Reguladora Dos Serviços Energéticos. <http://www.erse.pt/pt/desempenhoambiental/rotulagemenergetica/Paginas/default.aspx>. 2017.
- [5] Evans, T. The different Technologies for Cooling Data Centers. Schneider Electric. 2016.
- [6] Hewlett-Packard Portugal, Lda, Eficiência Energética dos Data Centers na Administração Pública. 2010.
- [7] Megre, L., Análise de projetos de investimento - Uma perspectiva económica, 1ª Edição, Edições Sílabo, 2013.
- [8] Laboratório Nacional de Energia e Geologia. Solterm, 5.1 <http://solterm.software.informer.com/5.1/> 2015.
- [9] Mellár, B. European Parliament. 10/2016, Eficiência Energética: <http://www.europarl.europa.eu/atyourservice/pt/displayFtu.html?ftuId=FTU_5.7.3.html>. 2016.
- [10] Moreira, João. Otimização de energia no sistema de climatização de um centro de processamento de dados, incluindo a utilização de sistemas de arrefecimento gratuito. MSc. Thesis. FEUP editions, 2016.
- [11] Petschke, P. Free Cooling for Data Centers. STULZGmbH. 2015.
- [12] STULZ, www.stulz.com. 2018.

[13] Telecommunications Industry Association. Telecommunications Infrastructure Standard for Data Centers. 2005.

[14] The Green Grid, PUE: A comprehensive examination of the metric, 2012.

[15] Txapartegi, M., Mounier, E., Data Center Technologies, New Technologies and Architectures for Efficient Data Center: [http://www.imicronews.com/images/Flyers/Power/Yole New Technologies and Architectures for Efficient Data Centers Flyer web.pdf](http://www.imicronews.com/images/Flyers/Power/Yole%20New%20Technologies%20and%20Architectures%20for%20Efficient%20Data%20Centers%20Flyer%20web.pdf). 2016.